

Utilitarian Perspective:

Agree – Ynte

Under the concept of utilitarianism, prostitution is justifiable if the costs of the action are less than the benefits received. Of course many would say that the means does not justify the ends. However in Utilitarianism, this is the least of the concerns. the act of prostitution is not unethical if it serves or benefits a greater number of people while harming a smaller number. Moreover if the consequences of the action provide benefit such as economic, to the person who undertakes it then it follows that the action cannot be condemned on moral grounds.

Disagree – Gamba

Ms. Dylan's action is wrong because having sex should be done with the person you love and not because of the money you will receive from your partner. Her method of getting money for her studies is incorrect because of the consequence of her losing her virginity to someone she does not love.

Kantian Perspective:

Disagree - Ynte

Many liberal and radical philosophies/ philosophers' stress that prostitution is no more inherently wrong or harmful than any other type of service work. I believe that prostitution violates the Kantian "principle of humanity," because it reflects a disrespectful attitude, which is expressed in the casual use of the human body as a mere means to achieve some goal, and in this case, it is to achieve one's sexual needs and desires. All sexual activities express subjectivity and different point of views, but not all sexual activities entail mutual respect. Some sexual activities reflect a person's attempt to determine another subject, by receiving the other's recognition of the person's will, without yielding to the other's influence, recognizing the other's will, and thus without incurring an obligation to them. The wrongfulness of actions, sexual or not, dwells in this assault to another person's dignity.

Agree – Gamba

Her action is correct in selling her virginity to some stranger because now she will be able to finance her MBA degree.

Nietzscheian Perspective:

Agree – Ynte

For someone who contracted syphilis from prostitutes (I'm pertaining to Nietzsche), I believe that the craftsman of master morality will definitely agree with Dylan auctioning her virginity. Dylan

demonstrated her master morality by showing that she's in control of her whole life and she knows that she will definitely benefit on this deal.

Disagree – Gamba

Based on Nietzsche's theory, I would say that she follows master morality, but the consequence is bad, because on this transaction, Natalie is the loser because she lost something important, her virginity.

Aristotlean Perspective:

Disagree – Ynte

The happiness that Dylan will acquire in this act will only be temporary, and according to Aristotle, human happiness is a lifelong process. It is continuously ongoing and the purpose has the end in itself. Happiness is an activity of the soul and in that is an ongoing actualization of the soul's potential for virtue. Being virtuous is self-sufficient in itself and therefore leads to human happiness.

Agree – Gamba

Using the golden mean as a philosophy, what Natalie did is correct because she knows what she wants which is to get money for her MBA degree, and then she will be able to receive the money for her education by offering her virginity. She obtained what she wants but not degrading herself as a prostitute like her sister.

References:

<http://www.humboldt.edu/>

<http://www/academon.com>